24

25

26

27

28

///

111

///

supported by a showing of good cause.

1 GINA GILBERT WINSPEAR, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005552 2 gwinspear@dennettwinspear.com MEREDITH L. HOLMES, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 11602 mholmes@dennettwinspear.com 4 **DENNETT WINSPEAR, LLP** 3301 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 195 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 Telephone: (702) 839-1100 6 Facsimile: (702) 839-1113 **Attorneys for Defendant** 7 STATE FARM INSURANCE COMPANY 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 10 DAVID LEWENZ, an individual; Case No.: 2:20-cv-01994-KJD-EJY 11 Plaintiff, 12 VS. 13 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STATE FARM INSURANCE COMPANY, a foreign **EXTEND DEADLINE TO FILE** corporation; DOES 1 through 10; ROE ENTITIES **DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS (FIRST** 14 11 through 20; and ABC LIMITED LIABILITY REQUEST) 15 COMPANIES 21 through 30, 16 Defendants. 17 The Parties, by and through their respective attorneys of record, pursuant to Local Rules 18 IA 6-1 and 26-3, file this stipulation and order to extend the deadline by which to file dispositive 19 motions. The parties seek to extend this deadline by 33 days. This is the first stipulation for 20 21 extension of time to file dispositive motions. 22 The parties are not seeking to reopen any discovery deadline, but only wish to extend the 23 deadline to file dispositive motions. The current deadline to file dispositive motions is November

25, 2021. Per Local Rule 26-3, a request made within 21 days of the subject deadline must be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

The parties are requesting this extension on the basis that they are working together to determine whether the case can be entered into binding arbitration for the contractual claims, with the extracontractual claims to be stayed while that binding arbitration is completed. It is possible that additional litigation following the binding arbitration would be unnecessary. Further, the only dispositive motions that would be appropriate in this case would be to address the extracontractual claims. As a result, there is good cause to extend the deadline to file the dispositive motion deadline, as it would potentially save both parties time and resources that would be needed to file dispositive motions that would ultimately be unnecessary, and Court resources in reviewing and potentially deciding on motions that may ultimately be unnecessary. The parties did not intend to delay the Court's calendar or docket management, but are working diligently to come up with the most efficient manner of litigating the claims involved in the case, without wasting the Court's or the parties time or resources if possible.

The parties propose the following dates for Dispositive Motions and Pre-Trial Order should the request be granted:

- A. **Dispositive Motions**. Tuesday, December 28, 2021.
- B. Pre-trial Order. The joint pre-trial order shall be filed no later than 30 days after the date set for filing dispositive motions. In this action, the joint pre-trial order shall be filed on or

///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

/// 24

25 ///

/// 26

27

28

Case 2:20-cv-01994-KJD-EJY Document 28 Filed 11/29/21 Page 3 of 3

28